



**WHEN IT'S BUDGET CUTTING TIME,
CHILDREN CAN'T STAND UP FOR THEMSELVES.
MAKE SURE THOSE WHO REPRESENT YOU
STAND UP FOR THEM.**



CDF Action Council

25 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001
202-662-3576
www.cdfactioncouncil.org

How Well Do Your Members of Congress Protect Children?



The 2003 Children's Defense Fund Action Council Nonpartisan Congressional Scorecard



CDF Action Council

How Well Do Your Members of Congress Protect Children?

The 2003 Children's Defense Fund Action Council
Nonpartisan Congressional Scorecard



CDF Action Council

The Mission of the Movement to Leave No Child Behind®

As we enter the 21st century, America's strength reflects our courage, our compassion, our hard work, our moral values, and our commitment to justice. Today, we *can* extend the American dream of our forefathers and foremothers to every child and family. We have the know-how, the experience, the tools, and the resources. And we have the responsibility as mothers, fathers, grandparents, and concerned and sensible people across the country.

We *can* build a nation where families have the support they need to make it at work and at home; where every child enters school ready to learn and leaves on the path to a productive future; where babies are likely to be born healthy, and sick children have the health care they need; where no child has to grow up in poverty; where all children are safe in their community and every child has a place to call home—and all Americans can proudly say “We Leave No Child Behind.”

Our mission and vision in the months and years ahead is to do what it takes to meet the needs of children and their parents by building on the strengths and sense of fairness of the American people, learning from the best public and private ideas and successes, and moving forward to a renewed commitment to all our children.

About the Children's Defense Fund Action Council

The mission of the Children's Defense Fund (CDF) Action Council is to Leave No Child Behind® and to ensure every child a *Healthy Start*, a *Head Start*, a *Fair Start*, a *Safe Start*, and a *Moral Start* in life and successful passage to adulthood with the help of caring families and communities.

The CDF Action Council provides a strong, effective voice for *all* the children of America who cannot vote, lobby, or speak for themselves. We pay particular attention to the needs of poor and minority children and those with disabilities. The CDF Action Council educates the nation about the needs of children and encourages preventive investments before they get sick, into trouble, drop out of school, or suffer family breakdown.

The CDF Action Council began in 1969 and is a private, nonprofit organization under Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code. We have never taken government funds.

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
How We Compile the Congressional Scorecard	4
Best and Worst Senators for Children	5
Best and Worst Representatives for Children	6
State Delegation Rankings	9
2003 Best and Worst State Delegations for Children	10
Congressional Scores by State Delegation	11
Key 2003 Children's Votes in the Senate	32
Key 2003 Children's Votes in the House of Representatives	37

© January 2004 by the Children's Defense Fund Action Council

All rights reserved

ISBN 1-881985-37-7

Printed in the United States of America

Introduction

More than 12 million children are poor; more than 9 million children lack health insurance; an estimated 7 million children are left home alone after school; and more than 900,000 children are victims of abuse or neglect. Members of Congress are in a powerful position to help all of America's children. The Children's Defense Fund (CDF) Action Council's Nonpartisan Congressional Scorecard documents how your U.S. Senators and Representatives used that power as they cast votes in 2003. We urge you to review this scorecard and judge for yourself whether your Senators and Representatives voted for children.

Senators and Representatives were scored on eleven key votes cast in 2003. Each of these votes had a significant effect on the lives and well-being of America's children. Many votes determined the availability of precious federal resources, affecting funding for Head Start, the Child Tax Credit, or housing assistance. Others influenced children's access to health care or quality education. Overall, we believe these votes provide a clear portrait of the level of importance that members of Congress attach to investments in our nation's children.

Members of Congress not only indicate their support for children through their votes, but also through strong leadership for, or sponsorship of, legislation. This year, cosponsorship of the Act to Leave No Child Behind (S. 448/H.R. 936) has been included in the CDF Action Council's scoring for Senators and Representatives. The Act to Leave No Child Behind was introduced in the Senate by Senator Christopher Dodd (D-CT) and in the House of Representatives by Representative George Miller (D-CA) on February 26, 2003. It is comprehensive legislation designed to improve the lives of our nation's children, reflecting CDF's mission and building upon policies and programs with proven success. Many members also introduced sections of the Act as separate bills. By the end of 2003, 14 Senators and 92 Representatives had joined with over 1,482 groups and organizations, more than 273 state legislators, and more than 96 mayors to endorse the Act and its vision for America's children.

The comprehensive Act to Leave No Child Behind gives the President, Congress, state and local officials, and all Americans a road map to:

- lift children from poverty;
- get every child ready for school through full funding of quality child care and Head Start and new investments in preschool;
- improve the quality of public education by modernizing our schools, reducing class size, and providing incentives for high-quality teachers to teach the students who are most in need;
- provide all children and their parents with health insurance;
- end child hunger through the expansion of food programs;
- ensure children have a place to call home through decent, affordable housing;
- protect all children from neglect, abuse, and other violence and ensure them the care they need; and
- give families leaving welfare the supports needed to be successful in the workplace, including health care, child care, education, and training.

Thirteen Senators and 54 Representatives scored 100 percent on the 2003 CDF Action Council Nonpartisan Congressional Scorecard. We are very grateful for their outstanding leadership on behalf of children and urge their constituents to thank them for making children a priority.

Twenty-nine Senators and 211 Representatives scored less than ten percent on the scorecard. Let these members know you are dissatisfied with their votes and their record for children.

Children and Families Scored Some Gains in 2003

Many members of Congress spoke out strongly and effectively for children in 2003 and worked hard to help secure the services children need to grow, learn, and thrive. For example, the Child Tax Credit was expanded to \$1,000 and made partially refundable; both the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act and the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act were reauthorized and improved, although both remain severely underfunded relative to the need for services; the Adoption Incentives Program was extended, and additional incentives for states to find adoptive families for older children in foster care were added; and new provisions to better address the housing needs of grandparents and other relatives raising children were included in the American Dream Downpayment Act. These modest accomplishments are a good start for many children and families in need, but much more remains to be done in 2004.

Missed Opportunities in 2003

Unfortunately, Congress squandered many opportunities to help children in 2003 and made some choices that could hurt children in the long run. For example, the federal government has gone from having a \$280 billion surplus to a record \$374 billion deficit. Even if the debt stops growing right now, today's children will inherit a debt of \$6 trillion.

While millionaires received checks from the government, the hard-working parents of 12 million children did not receive the Child Tax Credit in 2003 because Congress refused to include this modest tax relief in the massive tax cut to the richest Americans. Even 260,000 children of active-duty service members were excluded from the Child Tax Credit in the bill that the President signed. Congress delayed reauthorizing Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and the Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG), while conservatives in Congress pushed for harsh new work requirements, insufficient child care funding, and additional limits on state flexibility proposed by the Bush Administration. Record numbers of American families have no work and no welfare. In fact, the number of children in jobless, female-headed families with no TANF assistance has surged to 4 million during the Bush Administration. Congress also has yet to reauthorize the ban on assault weapons or close the gun-show loophole. Without improvements to the assault weapons ban and required background checks at gun shows, people will continue to obtain access to these destructive weapons and endanger the lives of our children.

Schools across the country are struggling to implement the President Bush's No Child Left Behind education bill because the President failed to budget for, and Congress failed to provide, the necessary funding increases and, in many cases, decreased funding for key K-12 education programs in the bill. In particular, Congress fell \$6.15 billion short of funding Title I at the level promised in the bill, leaving schools serving low-income students without the resources necessary to help the most educationally and economically disadvantaged students achieve at high levels. Congress also failed to reauthorize the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) in a way that:

- maintains fundamental safeguards—particularly with respect to discipline—that are essential to ensuring students with disabilities equal access to a free and appropriate public education;
- holds schools and districts sufficiently accountable for reducing inappropriate racial disparity in special education;
- significantly expands the Part C, Infants and Toddlers Program to cover all young children with, or at risk for, disabilities; and
- provides adequate federal resources to help guarantee children, from birth to age 21, the services and personnel they need to succeed in the classroom and as adults.

The final Medicare prescription drug bill dropped the Immigrant Children’s Health Improvement Act (ICHIA). ICHIA, which was included in the Senate-passed version of the Medicare bill, would have allowed, but not required, states to cover legal immigrant children and pregnant women through Medicaid and/or the State Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP). Legal immigrants currently are barred from Medicaid and CHIP during their first five years in the country.

Congress also wasted the opportunity to improve transitional Medicaid (TMA), which provides badly needed health coverage to parents who have left welfare for employment. Despite the bipartisan support for TMA, Congress extended the program (along with TANF) only through March 31, 2004.

In addition, the Family Opportunity Act was not passed, leaving hundreds of thousands of disabled children without access to Medicaid’s comprehensive services. Congress did another disservice to families of children with disabilities when it failed to finish work on the Lifespan Respite Care Act, which would offer relief to parents, grandparents, and other caregivers for children with disabilities. Congress took no action on the Mental Health Parity Bill, which is key to giving children with mental health problems the help they need. Additionally, neither the House nor the Senate took up legislation to reduce the number of uninsured, despite the fact that the budget resolution established a \$50 billion reserve fund for this purpose.

Finally, Congress failed to pass legislation that would have increased funding for the Social Services Block Grant. These funds are critical for supporting programs for those in need, such as abused and neglected children, children with disabilities, and the elderly.

How You Can Help Protect America’s Children

The 2003 CDF Action Council’s Nonpartisan Congressional Scorecard provides you with critical information about whether your members of Congress acted to protect the interests of children, either through critical votes or through cosponsorship of the Act to Leave No Child Behind. Let them know that their actions matter! Please tell your members of Congress that you will be watching what they do to meet the needs of children and families in 2004.

We encourage you to call, write, and visit with your Senators and Representatives in their Washington, D.C., offices or when they are home in their district offices. To find out who represents you in Congress, go to the “Contact Congress” page of the CDF Action Council Web site at <http://capwiz.com/cdf/home/>. Click on “Elected Officials” and enter your zip code. You can use this site to send an e-mail directly to your members of Congress or to obtain their Washington, D.C., and home district contact information.

How We Compile the Congressional Scorecard

The scorecard scores currently sitting members of the 108th Congress based on the key votes they cast in the House of Representatives or the Senate and the cosponsorship of important legislation during 2003. Members of the House cast a total of 677 recorded votes in 2003, while Senators cast 459 recorded votes. Some of the votes were on the final approval—or defeat—of a particular bill or resolution. A number of other votes were for or against amendments offered to change the bill or resolution under consideration. Still other votes were procedural in nature, such as a vote on a motion to table an amendment or waive the Budget Act. Yet, procedural votes also can have a substantive impact on the outcome of the legislation. For example, losing a procedural vote may mean that members will not even get the opportunity to vote on an amendment to help children. The CDF Action Council also scores cosponsorship of the Act to Leave No Child Behind (S. 448/H.R. 936), comprehensive and important legislation for children, in the scorecard. The key votes analyzed in this scorecard are described on pages 32–40. The summary includes a vote description and the CDF Action Council's position for each piece of legislation.

Members often cast “voice votes” on bills and resolutions or adopt them by “unanimous consent.” In these cases, there is no record to indicate how an individual member voted, and, therefore, these votes are not part of the scorecard. The CDF Action Council’s scorecard counts a missed vote as a vote against children because children need every possible vote. While we acknowledge that some missed votes are unavoidable due to member or family illness, it is not possible for us to determine the reason behind each missed vote. For example, as a result of the election being held in 2004, scores for some members who have devoted significant time to the upcoming election may be considerably lower than in years passed. Also, members who did not serve an entire term in office are scored only on votes held after they entered Congress. A slash indicates that a vote was not recorded.

The recorded vote for each Senator and Representative is taken from GalleryWatch.com, an Internet-based legislative database. The vote descriptions are based on those by *Congressional Quarterly* at CQ.com.

This scorecard is part of the CDF Action Council’s ongoing policy analysis and advocacy for children. The scores are not to be taken as an endorsement for, or opposition to, any candidate.

Key 2003 Children’s Votes in the Senate		Key 2003 Children’s Votes in the House of Representatives	
S90	FY 2004 Budget Resolution, Child Care Funding	H28	Welfare Reauthorization, Cardin Substitute
S134	FY 2004 Budget Resolution, Conference Report	H30	Welfare Reauthorization, Final Passage
S196	Tax Reductions, Conference Report	H124	Gun Liability, Final Passage
S210	Child Tax Credit, Tax Credit Extension	H141	FY 2004 Budget Resolution, Conference Report
S256	Prescription Drug Benefit, Immigrant Coverage	H154	Special Education Reauthorization, Final Passage
S269	FY 2004 State Department Reauthorization, Unemployment Insurance	H225	Tax Reductions, Conference Report
S329	FY 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, School Construction Funding	H275	Child Tax Credit and Military Tax Breaks, Motion to Instruct
S330	FY 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, Title I Funding	H288	Estate Tax Repeal, Final Passage
S333	FY 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, Head Start Funding	H353	FY 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, Final Passage
S340	FY 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, After-school Programs Funding	H444	Head Start Reauthorization, Final Passage
S341	FY 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, Promoting Safe and Stable Families Funding	H453	FY 2004 VA-HUD Appropriations, Housing Vouchers Funding
S448	The Act to Leave No Child Behind	HR 936	The Act to Leave No Child Behind

Best and Worst Senators for Children

Senate

The average Senate score for children was 50 percent. There were 13 Senators who scored 100 percent; 29 Senators scored below 10 percent.

The Best Senators for Children—Scored 100 Percent

Sen. Barbara Boxer (D-CA)	100%	Sen. Frank R. Lautenberg (D-NJ)	100%
Sen. Hillary Rodham Clinton (D-NY)	100%	Sen. Carl Levin (D-MI)	100%
Sen. Jon Corzine (D-NJ)	100%	Sen. Barbara A. Mikulski (D-MD)	100%
Sen. Mark Dayton (D-MN)	100%	Sen. Jack Reed (D-RI)	100%
Sen. Christopher J. Dodd (D-CT)	100%	Sen. Paul S. Sarbanes (D-MD)	100%
Sen. Edward M. Kennedy (D-MA)	100%	Sen. Charles E. Schumer (D-NY)	100%
Sen. Mary Landrieu (D-LA)	100%		

The Worst Senators for Children—Scored Below 10 Percent

Sen. Wayne Allard (R-CO)	8%	Sen. Judd Gregg (R-NH)	8%
Sen. George F. Allen (R-VA)	8%	Sen. Chuck Hagel (R-NE)	8%
Sen. Robert F. Bennett (R-UT)	8%	Sen. Orrin G. Hatch (R-UT)	8%
Sen. Jim Bunning (R-KY)	8%	Sen. Jon Kyl (R-AZ)	8%
Sen. Conrad R. Burns (R-MT)	8%	Sen. Trent Lott (R-MS)	8%
Sen. Saxby Chambliss (R-GA)	8%	Sen. Mitch McConnell (R-KY)	8%
Sen. John Cornyn (R-TX)	8%	Sen. Rick Santorum (R-PA)	8%
Sen. Larry E. Craig (R-ID)	8%	Sen. Jeff Sessions (R-AL)	8%
Sen. Mike Crapo (R-ID)	8%	Sen. Richard C. Shelby (R-AL)	8%
Sen. Elizabeth H. Dole (R-NC)	8%	Sen. Ted Stevens (R-AK)	8%
Sen. John Ensign (R-NV)	8%	Sen. John Sununu (R-NH)	8%
Sen. Michael Enzi (R-WY)	8%	Sen. James Talent (R-MO)	8%
Sen. William H. Frist (R-TN)	8%	Sen. Craig Thomas (R-WY)	8%
Sen. Lindsey Graham (R-SC)	8%		
<hr/>			
Sen. James M. Inhofe (R-OK)	0%	Sen. Don Nickles (R-OK)	0%

Best and Worst Representatives for Children

House

The average House score for children was 42 percent. There were 54 Representatives who scored 100 percent; 211 Representatives scored below 10 percent.

The Best Representatives for Children, Scored 100 Percent

Rep. Tammy Baldwin (D-WI)	100%	Rep. Nita M. Lowey (D-NY)	100%
Rep. Frank W. Ballance, Jr. (D-NC)	100%	Rep. Carolyn B. Maloney (D-NY)	100%
Rep. Sherrod Brown (D-OH)	100%	Rep. James P. McGovern (D-MA)	100%
Rep. Lois Capps (D-CA)	100%	Rep. Michael R. McNulty (D-NY)	100%
Rep. James E. Clyburn (D-SC)	100%	Rep. Gregory W. Meeks (D-NY)	100%
Rep. Joseph Crowley (D-NY)	100%	Rep. George Miller (D-CA)	100%
Rep. Elijah E. Cummings (D-MD)	100%	Rep. Grace Napolitano (D-CA)	100%
Rep. Susan Davis (D-CA)	100%	Rep. John W. Olver (D-MA)	100%
Rep. Rosa L. DeLauro (D-CT)	100%	Rep. Frank Pallone (D-NJ)	100%
Rep. Eliot L. Engel (D-NY)	100%	Rep. Nancy Pelosi (D-CA)	100%
Rep. Chaka Fattah (D-PA)	100%	Rep. Bobby L. Rush (D-IL)	100%
Rep. Bob Filner (D-CA)	100%	Rep. Linda Sanchez (D-CA)	100%
Rep. Barney Frank (D-MA)	100%	Rep. Janice Schakowsky (D-IL)	100%
Rep. Raul Grijalva (D-AZ)	100%	Rep. Jose E. Serrano (D-NY)	100%
Rep. Alcee L. Hastings (D-FL)	100%	Rep. Hilda Solis (D-CA)	100%
Rep. Joseph Hoeffel (D-PA)	100%	Rep. Fortney Stark (D-CA)	100%
Rep. Jesse L. Jackson, Jr. (D-IL)	100%	Rep. Bennie G. Thompson (D-MS)	100%
Rep. Sheila Jackson-Lee (D-TX)	100%	Rep. John Tierney (D-MA)	100%
Rep. William J. Jefferson (D-LA)	100%	Rep. Edolphus Towns (D-NY)	100%
Rep. Eddie Bernice Johnson (D-TX)	100%	Rep. Thomas Udall (D-NM)	100%
Rep. Stephanie Tubbs Jones (D-OH)	100%	Rep. Chris Van Hollen (D-MD)	100%
Rep. Patrick J. Kennedy (D-RI)	100%	Rep. Maxine Waters (D-CA)	100%
Rep. Dale E. Kildee (D-MI)	100%	Rep. Diane E. Watson (D-CA)	100%
Rep. Carolyn Kilpatrick (D-MI)	100%	Rep. Melvin L. Watt (D-NC)	100%
Rep. Dennis J. Kucinich (D-OH)	100%	Rep. Anthony Weiner (D-NY)	100%
Rep. Barbara Lee (D-CA)	100%	Rep. Robert Wexler (D-FL)	100%
Rep. John Lewis (D-GA)	100%	Rep. Lynn C. Woolsey (D-CA)	100%

The Worst House Representatives for Children, Scored Below 10 Percent

Rep. Spencer Bachus (R-AL)	8%	Rep. Paul E. Gillmor (R-OH)	8%
Rep. Charles F. Bass (R-NH)	8%	Rep. Porter J. Goss (R-FL)	8%
Rep. Sherwood L. Boehlert (R-NY)	8%	Rep. Mark Green (R-WI)	8%
Rep. Richard Burr (R-NC)	8%	Rep. Walter B. Jones (R-NC)	8%
Rep. Philip M. Crane (R-IL)	8%	Rep. Ray LaHood (R-IL)	8%
Rep. Jo Ann Davis (R-VA)	8%	Rep. Steve C. LaTourette (R-OH)	8%
Rep. John J. Duncan (R-TN)	8%	Rep. Ron Lewis (R-KY)	8%
Rep. Phil English (R-PA)	8%	Rep. Frank D. Lucas (R-OK)	8%
Rep. Vito Fossella (R-NY)	8%	Rep. Gary Miller (R-CA)	8%
Rep. Rodney P. Frelinghuysen (R-NJ)	8%	Rep. Jerry Moran (R-KS)	8%
Rep. Scott Garrett (R-NJ)	8%	Rep. Tim Murphy (R-PA)	8%

Best and Worst Representatives for Children (continued)

Rep. Jim Nussle (R-IA)	8%	Rep. Nick Smith (R-MI)	8%
Rep. Todd Platts (R-PA)	8%	Rep. Tom Tancredo (R-CO)	8%
Rep. Paul Ryan (R-WI)	8%	Rep. Patrick Toomey (R-PA)	8%
Rep. F. James Sensenbrenner (R-WI)	8%	Rep. Fred Upton (R-MI)	8%
Rep. Rob Simmons (R-CT)	8%	Rep. Greg Walden (R-OR)	8%
Rep. Christopher H. Smith (R-NJ)	8%	Rep. James T. Walsh (R-NY)	8%
<hr/>			
Rep. Robert B. Aderholt (R-AL)	0%	Rep. Nathan Deal (R-GA)	0%
Rep. Todd Akin (R-MO)	0%	Rep. Tom DeLay (R-TX)	0%
Rep. Richard H. Baker (R-LA)	0%	Rep. James DeMint (R-SC)	0%
Rep. Cass Ballenger (R-NC)	0%	Rep. Lincoln Diaz-Balart (R-FL)	0%
Rep. J. Gresham Barrett (R-SC)	0%	Rep. Mario Diaz-Balart (R-FL)	0%
Rep. Joe Barton (R-TX)	0%	Rep. John T. Doolittle (R-CA)	0%
Rep. Bob Beauprez (R-CO)	0%	Rep. David Dreier (R-CA)	0%
Rep. Judy Biggert (R-IL)	0%	Rep. Jennifer Dunn (R-WA)	0%
Rep. Michael Bilirakis (R-FL)	0%	Rep. Vernon J. Ehlers (R-MI)	0%
Rep. Rob Bishop (R-UT)	0%	Rep. Jo Ann Emerson (R-MO)	0%
Rep. Marsha Blackburn (R-TN)	0%	Rep. Terry Everett (R-AL)	0%
Rep. Roy Blunt (R-MO)	0%	Rep. Tom Feeney (R-FL)	0%
Rep. John A. Boehner (R-OH)	0%	Rep. Mike Ferguson (R-NJ)	0%
Rep. Henry Bonilla (R-TX)	0%	Rep. Mark Foley (R-FL)	0%
Rep. Jo Bonner (R-AL)	0%	Rep. Randy Forbes (R-VA)	0%
Rep. Mary Bono (R-CA)	0%	Rep. Elton Gallegly (R-CA)	0%
Rep. John Boozman (R-AR)	0%	Rep. Jim Gerlach (R-PA)	0%
Rep. Jeb Bradley (R-NH)	0%	Rep. Jim Gibbons (R-NV)	0%
Rep. Kevin Brady (R-TX)	0%	Rep. Wayne T. Gilchrest (R-MD)	0%
Rep. Henry Brown (R-SC)	0%	Rep. Phil Gingrey (R-GA)	0%
Rep. Ginny Brown-Waite (R-FL)	0%	Rep. Virgil Goode (R-VA)	0%
Rep. Michael C. Burgess (R-TX)	0%	Rep. Bob Goodlatte (R-VA)	0%
Rep. Max Burns (R-GA)	0%	Rep. Kay Granger (R-TX)	0%
Rep. Dan Burton (R-IN)	0%	Rep. Sam Graves (R-MO)	0%
Rep. Stephen E. Buyer (R-IN)	0%	Rep. James C. Greenwood (R-PA)	0%
Rep. Ken Calvert (R-CA)	0%	Rep. Gil Gutknecht (R-MN)	0%
Rep. Dave Camp (R-MI)	0%	Rep. Katherine Harris (R-FL)	0%
Rep. Chris Cannon (R-UT)	0%	Rep. Melissa Hart (R-PA)	0%
Rep. Eric I. Cantor (R-VA)	0%	Rep. Dennis Hastert (R-IL)	0%
Rep. John R. Carter (R-TX)	0%	Rep. Richard (Doc) Hastings (R-WA)	0%
Rep. Steve Chabot (R-OH)	0%	Rep. Robin Hayes (R-NC)	0%
Rep. Chris Chocola (R-IN)	0%	Rep. J.D. Hayworth (R-AZ)	0%
Rep. Howard Coble (R-NC)	0%	Rep. Jeb Hensarling (R-TX)	0%
Rep. Tom Cole (R-OK)	0%	Rep. Wally Herger (R-CA)	0%
Rep. Mac Collins (R-GA)	0%	Rep. David L. Hobson (R-OH)	0%
Rep. Christopher Cox (R-CA)	0%	Rep. Peter Hoekstra (R-MI)	0%
Rep. Ander Crenshaw (R-FL)	0%	Rep. Kenny C. Hulshof (R-MO)	0%
Rep. Barbara Cubin (R-WY)	0%	Rep. Duncan Hunter (R-CA)	0%
Rep. John Culberson (R-TX)	0%	Rep. Henry J. Hyde (R-IL)	0%
Rep. Randy Cunningham (R-CA)	0%	Rep. Johnny Isakson (R-GA)	0%
Rep. Thomas M. Davis (R-VA)	0%	Rep. Darrell Issa (R-CA)	0%

Best and Worst Representatives for Children (continued)

Rep. Ernest J. Istook (R-OK)	0%	Rep. Adam Putnam (R-FL)	0%
Rep. William J. Janklow (R-SD)	0%	Rep. Jack Quinn (R-NY)	0%
Rep. William L. Jenkins (R-TN)	0%	Rep. George P. Radanovich (R-CA)	0%
Rep. Sam Johnson (R-TX)	0%	Rep. Ralph Regula (R-OH)	0%
Rep. Richard Anthony Keller (R-FL)	0%	Rep. Dennis Rehberg (R-MT)	0%
Rep. Sue W. Kelly (R-NY)	0%	Rep. Rick Renzi (R-AZ)	0%
Rep. Mark Kennedy (R-MN)	0%	Rep. Thomas Reynolds (R-NY)	0%
Rep. Peter T. King (R-NY)	0%	Rep. Harold Rogers (R-KY)	0%
Rep. Steve King (R-IA)	0%	Rep. Mike Rogers (R-AL)	0%
Rep. Jack Kingston (R-GA)	0%	Rep. Mike Rogers (R-MI)	0%
Rep. Mark Steven Kirk (R-IL)	0%	Rep. Dana Rohrabacher (R-CA)	0%
Rep. John Kline (R-MN)	0%	Rep. Ileana Ros-Lehtinen (R-FL)	0%
Rep. Joe Knollenberg (R-MI)	0%	Rep. Edward R. Royce (R-CA)	0%
Rep. Jim Kolbe (R-AZ)	0%	Rep. Jim Ryun (R-KS)	0%
Rep. Tom Latham (R-IA)	0%	Rep. Jim Saxton (R-NJ)	0%
Rep. Jerry Lewis (R-CA)	0%	Rep. Edward L. Schrock (R-VA)	0%
Rep. John Linder (R-GA)	0%	Rep. Pete Sessions (R-TX)	0%
Rep. Frank A. LoBiondo (R-NJ)	0%	Rep. John B. Shadegg (R-AZ)	0%
Rep. Donald A. Manzullo (R-IL)	0%	Rep. Clay Shaw (R-FL)	0%
Rep. Thaddeus McCotter (R-MI)	0%	Rep. Donald Sherwood (R-PA)	0%
Rep. Jim McCrery (R-LA)	0%	Rep. John Shimkus (R-IL)	0%
Rep. Scott McInnis (R-CO)	0%	Rep. Bill Shuster (R-PA)	0%
Rep. Howard P. McKeon (R-CA)	0%	Rep. Mike Simpson (R-ID)	0%
Rep. John L. Mica (R-FL)	0%	Rep. Lamar S. Smith (R-TX)	0%
Rep. Candice Miller (R-MI)	0%	Rep. Mark Edward Souder (R-IN)	0%
Rep. Jeff Miller (R-FL)	0%	Rep. Cliff Stearns (R-FL)	0%
Rep. Marilyn Musgrave (R-CO)	0%	Rep. John Sullivan (R-OK)	0%
Rep. Sue Myrick (R-NC)	0%	Rep. John Sweeney (R-NY)	0%
Rep. George R. Nethercutt (R-WA)	0%	Rep. W. 'Billy' Tauzin (R-LA)	0%
Rep. Randy Neugebauer (R-TX)	0%	Rep. Charles H. Taylor (R-NC)	0%
Rep. Bob Ney (R-OH)	0%	Rep. Lee Terry (R-NE)	0%
Rep. Anne M. Northup (R-KY)	0%	Rep. William M. Thomas (R-CA)	0%
Rep. Charlie Norwood (R-GA)	0%	Rep. Mac Thornberry (R-TX)	0%
Rep. Devin Nunes (R-CA)	0%	Rep. Todd Tiahrt (R-KS)	0%
Rep. Tom Osborne (R-NE)	0%	Rep. Pat Tiberi (R-OH)	0%
Rep. Douglas Ose (R-CA)	0%	Rep. Michael R. Turner (R-OH)	0%
Rep. C.L. 'Butch' Otter (R-ID)	0%	Rep. David Vitter (R-LA)	0%
Rep. Michael G. Oxley (R-OH)	0%	Rep. Zach Wamp (R-TN)	0%
Rep. Steve Pearce (R-NM)	0%	Rep. Curt Weldon (R-PA)	0%
Rep. Mike Pence (R-IN)	0%	Rep. Dave Weldon (R-FL)	0%
Rep. John E. Peterson (R-PA)	0%	Rep. Jerry Weller (R-IL)	0%
Rep. Thomas E. Petri (R-WI)	0%	Rep. Edward Whitfield (R-KY)	0%
Rep. Charles W. Pickering (R-MS)	0%	Rep. Roger F. Wicker (R-MS)	0%
Rep. Joseph R. Pitts (R-PA)	0%	Rep. Joe Wilson (R-SC)	0%
Rep. Richard W. Pombo (R-CA)	0%	Rep. Frank R. Wolf (R-VA)	0%
Rep. Jon Porter (R-NV)	0%	Rep. Bill Young (R-FL)	0%
Rep. Rob Portman (R-OH)	0%	Rep. Don Young (R-AK)	0%
Rep. Deborah Pryce (R-OH)	0%		

State Delegation Rankings

State	Score for all votes	Rank	State	Score for all votes	Rank
Hawaii	90	1	Tennessee	36	27
Massachusetts	90	1	South Carolina	35	29
Vermont	89	3	Montana	33	30
North Dakota	81	4	Iowa	32	31
Rhode Island	81	4	Pennsylvania	32	31
Maryland	78	6	Mississippi	31	33
Delaware	72	7	Ohio	30	34
Arkansas	68	8	Florida	28	35
Maine	67	9	Arizona	27	36
West Virginia	67	9	Georgia	27	36
New York	66	11	Colorado	26	38
Oregon	64	12	Missouri	24	39
South Dakota	61	13	Nebraska	22	40
Washington	59	14	Virginia	22	40
California	58	15	Kansas	21	42
New Jersey	56	16	Alabama	18	43
Wisconsin	56	16	Utah	17	44
Connecticut	54	18	Oklahoma	12	45
Louisiana	47	19	Kentucky	10	46
Minnesota	47	19	Alaska	8	47
Illinois	45	21	New Hampshire	6	48
New Mexico	45	21	Wyoming	6	48
Michigan	44	23	Idaho	4	50
Texas	43	24			
North Carolina	41	25			
Nevada	37	26			
Indiana	36	27			

Note: Each state score is calculated by averaging the scores of all Senators and Representatives from the state.

2003 Best and Worst State Delegations for Children

Best State Delegations for Children

State	Score for all votes	Rank
Hawaii	90	1
Massachusetts	90	1
Vermont	89	3
North Dakota	81	4
Rhode Island	81	4
Maryland	78	6
Delaware	72	7
Arkansas	68	8
Maine	67	9
West Virginia	67	9

Worst State Delegations for Children

Nebraska	22	40
Virginia	22	40
Kansas	21	42
Alabama	18	43
Utah	17	44
Oklahoma	12	45
Kentucky	10	46
Alaska	8	47
New Hampshire	6	48
Wyoming	6	48
Idaho	4	50

Note: Each state score is comprised by averaging the scores of all Senators and Representatives from the state.

Congressional Scores by State Delegation

Alabama

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Jeff Sessions (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Richard C. Shelby (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Robert B. Aderholt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Spencer Bachus (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	8%
Rep. Jo Bonner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Robert E. Cramer (D)	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	A	+	+	-	42%
Rep. Artur Davis (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Terry Everett (R)	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Mike Rogers (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
State average:												18%	
State rank:												43	

Alaska

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Lisa Murkowski (R)	-	-	-	A	-	-	A	-	-	+	+	-	17%
Sen. Ted Stevens (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Don Young (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
State average:												8%	
State rank:												47	

Arizona

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Jon Kyl (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. John McCain (R)	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Jeff Flake (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	17%
Rep. Trent Franks (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	17%
Rep. Raul Grijalva (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. J.D. Hayworth (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jim Kolbe (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Ed Pastor (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	92%
Rep. Rick Renzi (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. John B. Shadegg (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
State average:												27%	
State rank:												36	

VOTE KEY

- “+” = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.
- “-” = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.
- “A” = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

Arkansas

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Blanche Lambert Lincoln (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
Sen. Mark Pryor (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. Marion Berry (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	67%
Rep. John Boozman (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Mike Ross (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	75%
Rep. Vic Snyder (D)	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	83%
													State average:	68%
													State rank:	8

California

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Barbara Boxer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. Joe Baca (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	83%
Rep. Xavier Becerra (D)	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Howard L. Berman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Mary Bono (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Ken Calvert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Lois Capps (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Dennis Cardoza (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	67%
Rep. Christopher Cox (R)	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Randy Cunningham (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Susan Davis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Calvin M. Dooley (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	67%
Rep. John T. Doolittle (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. David Dreier (R)	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Anna G. Eshoo (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Sam Farr (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Bob Filner (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Elton Gallegly (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jane Harman (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	A	+	+	-	-	75%
Rep. Wally Herger (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Mike Honda (D)	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	83%
Rep. Duncan Hunter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Darrell Issa (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Tom Lantos (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
Rep. Barbara Lee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Jerry Lewis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%

(continued next page)

VOTE KEY

- “+” = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.
- “-” = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.
- “A” = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

California

continued

HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Zoe Lofgren (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Robert T. Matsui (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Howard P. McKeon (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Gary Miller (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Rep. George Miller (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Grace Napolitano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Devin Nunes (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Douglas Ose (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Nancy Pelosi (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Richard W. Pombo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. George P. Radanovich (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Dana Rohrabacher (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Lucille Roybal-Allard (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Edward R. Royce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Linda Sanchez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Loretta Sanchez (D)	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	-	67%
Rep. Adam Schiff (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Brad Sherman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Hilda Solis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Fortney Stark (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Ellen O. Tauscher (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. William M. Thomas (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Mike Thompson (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Maxine Waters (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Diane E. Watson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Henry A. Waxman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Lynn C. Woolsey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
												State average:	58%
												State rank:	15

Colorado

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
Sen. Wayne Allard (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Ben Nighthorse Campbell (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	17%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Bob Beauprez (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Diana DeGette (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Joel Hefley (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	17%
Rep. Scott McInnis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Marilyn Musgrave (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Tom Tancredo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	+	-	8%
Rep. Mark Udall (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
												State average:	26%
												State rank:	38

Connecticut

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Christopher J. Dodd (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		100%
Sen. Joseph I. Lieberman (D)	+	+	+	+	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	-		33%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. Rosa L. DeLauro (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		100%
Rep. Nancy L. Johnson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	+	-	+	+	-		25%
Rep. John Larson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		92%
Rep. Christopher Shays (R)	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-		17%
Rep. Rob Simmons (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-		8%
												State average:	54%	
												State rank:	18	

Delaware

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Joseph R. Biden (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		92%
Sen. Thomas Carper (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. Michael N. Castle (R)	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-		33%
												State average:	72%	
												State rank:	7	

Florida

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Bob Graham (D)	+	+	+	A	+	A	A	A	A	A	A	-		33%
Sen. Bill Nelson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. Michael Bilirakis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Allen Boyd (D)	+	-	A	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		67%
Rep. Corrine Brown (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		92%
Rep. Ginny Brown-Waite (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Ander Crenshaw (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Jim Davis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	-		83%
Rep. Peter Deutsch (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		92%
Rep. Lincoln Diaz-Balart (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Mario Diaz-Balart (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Tom Feeney (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%

(continued next page)

VOTE KEY

- "+" = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.
- "-" = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.
- "A" = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

Florida

continued

HOUSE Roll Call Vote #: CDF Action Council position	<u>H28</u> Yea	<u>H30</u> Nay	<u>H124</u> Nay	<u>H141</u> Nay	<u>H154</u> Nay	<u>H225</u> Nay	<u>H275</u> Yea	<u>H288</u> Nay	<u>H353</u> Nay	<u>H444</u> Nay	<u>H453</u> Yea	Co-Sponsor of S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
Rep. Mark Foley (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Porter J. Goss (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	+	-	8%
Rep. Katherine Harris (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Alcee L. Hastings (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Richard Anthony Keller (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Kendrick Meek (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. John L. Mica (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jeff Miller (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Adam Putnam (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Ileana Ros-Lehtinen (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	0%
Rep. Clay Shaw (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Cliff Stearns (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Dave Weldon (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Robert Wexler (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Bill Young (R)	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
State average:												28%	
State rank:												35	

Georgia

SENATE Roll Call Vote #: CDF Action Council position	<u>S90</u> Yea	<u>S134</u> Nay	<u>S196</u> Nay	<u>S210</u> Yea	<u>S256</u> Nay	<u>S269</u> Yea	<u>S329</u> Yea	<u>S330</u> Yea	<u>S333</u> Yea	<u>S340</u> Yea	<u>S341</u> Yea	Co-Sponsor of S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
Sen. Saxby Chambliss (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Zell Miller (D)	A	-	-	+	+	A	A	-	-	-	-	-	17%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #: CDF Action Council position	<u>H28</u> Yea	<u>H30</u> Nay	<u>H124</u> Nay	<u>H141</u> Nay	<u>H154</u> Nay	<u>H225</u> Nay	<u>H275</u> Yea	<u>H288</u> Nay	<u>H353</u> Nay	<u>H444</u> Nay	<u>H453</u> Yea		
Rep. Sanford D. Bishop (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	67%
Rep. Max Burns (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Mac Collins (R)	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Nathan Deal (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Phil Gingrey (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Johnny Isakson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jack Kingston (R)	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. John Lewis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. John Linder (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Denise Majette (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Jim Marshall (D)	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	58%
Rep. Charlie Norwood (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. David Scott (D)	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	67%
State average:												27%	
State rank:												36	

Hawaii

SENATE Roll Call Vote #: CDF Action Council position	<u>S90</u> Yea	<u>S134</u> Nay	<u>S196</u> Nay	<u>S210</u> Yea	<u>S256</u> Nay	<u>S269</u> Yea	<u>S329</u> Yea	<u>S330</u> Yea	<u>S333</u> Yea	<u>S340</u> Yea	<u>S341</u> Yea	Co-Sponsor of S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
Sen. Daniel K. Akaka (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Sen. Daniel K. Inouye (D)	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #: CDF Action Council position	<u>H28</u> Yea	<u>H30</u> Nay	<u>H124</u> Nay	<u>H141</u> Nay	<u>H154</u> Nay	<u>H225</u> Nay	<u>H275</u> Yea	<u>H288</u> Nay	<u>H353</u> Nay	<u>H444</u> Nay	<u>H453</u> Yea		
Rep. Neil Abercrombie (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	-	+	+	+	+	83%
Rep. Ed Case (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
State average:												90%	
State rank:												1	

Idaho

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Larry E. Craig (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Mike Crapo (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. C.L. 'Butch' Otter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Mike Simpson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
State average:												4%	
State rank:												50	

Illinois

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Richard J. Durbin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Sen. Peter Fitzgerald (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Judy Biggert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jerry F. Costello (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Philip M. Crane (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	8%
Rep. Danny Davis (D)	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Rahm Emanuel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Lane Evans (D)	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Luis V. Gutierrez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Dennis Hastert (R)	/	-	/	-	/	-	-	-	-	-	/	-	0%
Rep. Henry J. Hyde (R)	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jesse L. Jackson Jr. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Timothy V. Johnson (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	25%
Rep. Mark Steven Kirk (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Ray LaHood (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Rep. William O. Lipinski (D)	+	-	-	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	-	67%
Rep. Donald A. Manzullo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Bobby L. Rush (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Janice Schakowsky (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. John Shimkus (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jerry Weller (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
State average:												45%	
State rank:												21	

VOTE KEY

- "+" = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.
- "-" = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.
- "A" = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

Indiana

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Evan Bayh (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Sen. Richard G. Lugar (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Dan Burton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Stephen E. Buyer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Julia Carson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Chris Chocola (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Baron Hill (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. John N. Hostettler (R)	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	25%
Rep. Mike Pence (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Mark Edward Souder (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Peter J. Visclosky (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
												State average:	36%
												State rank:	27

Iowa

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Chuck Grassley (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%
Sen. Tom Harkin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Leonard L. Boswell (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	67%
Rep. Steve King (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. James A. Leach (R)	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	42%
Rep. Tom Latham (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jim Nussle (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	8%
												State average:	32%
												State rank:	31

Kansas

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Sam Brownback (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%
Sen. Pat Roberts (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Dennis Moore (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Jerry Moran (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Rep. Jim Ryun (R)	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Todd Tiahrt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	21%
												State rank:	42

Kentucky

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Jim Bunning (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Mitch McConnell (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Ron Lewis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	8%
Rep. Kenneth Lucas (D)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	42%
Rep. Anne M. Northup (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Harold Rogers (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Edward Whitfield (R)	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	10%
												State rank:	46

Louisiana

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. John B. Breaux (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Sen. Mary Landrieu (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Rodney Alexander (D)	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	67%
Rep. Richard H. Baker (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. William J. Jefferson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Christopher John (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Jim McCrery (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	0%
Rep. W. 'Billy' Tauzin (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. David Vitter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	47%
												State rank:	19

Maine

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Susan Collins (R)	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	42%
Sen. Olympia Snowe (R)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	58%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Thomas H. Allen (D)	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Rep. Michael Michaud (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
												State average:	67%
												State rank:	9

VOTE KEY

- "+" = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.
- "-" = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.
- "A" = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

Maryland

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Barbara A. Mikulski (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Sen. Paul S. Sarbanes (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Roscoe G. Bartlett (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	17%
Rep. Benjamin L. Cardin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Elijah E. Cummings (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Wayne T. Gilchrest (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Steny H. Hoyer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. C.A. Dutch Ruppberger (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Chris Van Hollen (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Albert Russell Wynn (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	92%
												State average:	78%
												State rank:	6

Massachusetts

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Edward M. Kennedy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Sen. John F. Kerry (D)	+	+	+	+	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	-	33%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Michael Capuano (D)	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. William D. Delahunt (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Barney Frank (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Stephen Lynch (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Edward J. Markey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. James P. McGovern (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Martin T. Meehan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Richard E. Neal (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. John W. Olver (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. John Tierney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
												State average:	90%
												State rank:	1

Michigan

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Carl Levin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		100%
Sen. Debbie Stabenow (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. Dave Camp (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. John Conyers (D)	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+		83%
Rep. John D. Dingell (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		83%
Rep. Vernon J. Ehlers (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Peter Hoekstra (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Dale E. Kildee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		100%
Rep. Carolyn Kilpatrick (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		100%
Rep. Joe Knollenberg (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Sander M. Levin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		92%
Rep. Thaddeus McCotter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Candice Miller (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Mike Rogers (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Nick Smith (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-		8%
Rep. Bart Stupak (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-		75%
Rep. Fred Upton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-		8%
													State average:	44%
													State rank:	23

Minnesota

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Norm Coleman (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		17%
Sen. Mark Dayton (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		100%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. Gil Gutknecht (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Mark Kennedy (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. John Kline (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0%
Rep. Betty McCollum (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		83%
Rep. James L. Oberstar (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	-		83%
Rep. Collin C. Peterson (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-		75%
Rep. Jim Ramstad (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-		25%
Rep. Martin Olav Sabo (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		83%
													State average:	47%
													State rank:	19

VOTE KEY

- “+” = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.
- “-” = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.
- “A” = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

Mississippi

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Thad Cochran (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%
Sen. Trent Lott (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Charles W. Pickering (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Gene Taylor (DS)	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	58%
Rep. Bennie G. Thompson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Roger F. Wicker (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	31%
												State rank:	33

Missouri

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Christopher S. Bond (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%
Sen. James Talent (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Todd Akin (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Roy Blunt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. William Lacy Clay (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Jo Ann Emerson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Kenny C. Hulshof (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Richard A. Gephardt (D)	A	+	A	A	A	+	A	A	A	A	A	-	17%
Rep. Sam Graves (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Karen McCarthy (D)	+	+	A	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	67%
Rep. Ike Skelton (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	67%
												State average:	24%
												State rank:	39

Montana

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Max Baucus (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Sen. Conrad R. Burns (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Dennis Rehberg (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	33%
												State rank:	30

Nebraska

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Chuck Hagel (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Ben Nelson (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Doug Bereuter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	17%
Rep. Tom Osborne (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Lee Terry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	22%
												State rank:	40

Nevada

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. John Ensign (R)	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	8%
Sen. Harry Reid (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Shelley Berkley (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Jim Gibbons (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jon Porter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	37%
												State rank:	26

New Hampshire

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Judd Gregg (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. John Sununu (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Charles F. Bass (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Rep. Jeb Bradley (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	6%
												State rank:	48

VOTE KEY

- "+" = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.
- "-" = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.
- "A" = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

New Jersey

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Jon Corzine (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Sen. Frank R. Lautenberg (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Robert E. Andrews (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Mike Ferguson (R)	A	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Rodney P. Frelinghuysen (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	8%
Rep. Scott Garrett (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Rep. Rush Holt (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Frank A. LoBiondo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Robert Menendez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Frank Pallone (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Bill Pascrell (D)	+	A	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Donald M. Payne (D)	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	75%
Rep. Steven R. Rothman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Jim Saxton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Christopher H. Smith (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	8%
State average:												56%	
State rank:												16	

New Mexico

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Jeff Bingaman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Sen. Pete V. Domenici (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	17%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Steve Pearce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Thomas Udall (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Heather A. Wilson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	17%
State average:												45%	
State rank:												21	

New York

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Hillary Rodham Clinton (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Sen. Charles E. Schumer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Gary L. Ackerman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Timothy Bishop (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Sherwood L. Boehlert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Rep. Joseph Crowley (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Eliot L. Engel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Vito Fossella (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	A	-	+	-	8%
Rep. Maurice D. Hinchey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	92%

(continued next page)

New York *continued*

HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Rep. Amo Houghton (R)	-	-	A	A	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	17%
Rep. Steven Jay Israel (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Sue W. Kelly (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Peter T. King (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Nita M. Lowey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Carolyn B. Maloney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Carolyn McCarthy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. John M. McHugh (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	17%
Rep. Michael R. McNulty (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Gregory W. Meeks (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Major R. Owens (D)	-	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	75%
Rep. Jack Quinn (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Charles B. Rangel (D)	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Thomas Reynolds (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jose E. Serrano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. John Sweeney (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Louise McIntosh Slaughter (D)	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Edolphus Towns (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Nydia M. Velazquez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. James T. Walsh (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	8%
Rep. Anthony Weiner (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
												State average:	66%
												State rank:	11

North Carolina

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Elizabeth H. Dole (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. John Edwards (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	A	A	A	A	-	50%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Frank W. Ballance, Jr. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Cass Ballenger (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Richard Burr (R)	A	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Rep. Howard Coble (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Bobby Etheridge (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Robin Hayes (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Walter B. Jones (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	8%
Rep. Mike McIntyre (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	67%
Rep. Brad Miller (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Sue Myrick (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. David E. Price (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Charles H. Taylor (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Melvin L. Watt (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
												State average:	41%
												State rank:	25

VOTE KEY

- “+” = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.
- “-” = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.
- “A” = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

North Dakota

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Kent Conrad (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Sen. Byron L. Dorgan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	-	83%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Earl Pomeroy (D)	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	67%
												State average:	81%
												State rank:	4

Ohio

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Mike DeWine (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	25%
Sen. George Voinovich (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. John A. Boehner (R)	-	-	-	A	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Sherrod Brown (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Steve Chabot (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Paul E. Gillmor (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Rep. David L. Hobson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Stephanie Tubbs Jones (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Marcy Kaptur (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Rep. Dennis J. Kucinich (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Steve C. LaTourette (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	8%
Rep. Bob Ney (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Michael G. Oxley (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Rob Portman (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Deborah Pryce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Ralph Regula (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Timothy J. Ryan (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	83%
Rep. Ted Strickland (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Pat Tiberi (R)	A	A	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Michael R. Turner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	30%
												State rank:	34

Oklahoma

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. James M. Inhofe (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Sen. Don Nickles (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Brad Carson (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Tom Cole (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Ernest J. Istook (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Frank D. Lucas (R)	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	8%
Rep. John Sullivan (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	0%
												State average:	12%
												State rank:	45

Oregon

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Gordon Smith (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	A	A	A	A	-	-	17%
Sen. Ron Wyden (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. Earl Blumenauer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	-	-	83%
Rep. Peter A. DeFazio (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Darlene Hooley (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	83%
Rep. Greg Walden (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	8%
Rep. David Wu (D)	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	75%
												State average:	64%	
												State rank:	12	

Pennsylvania

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Rick Santorum (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Arlen Specter (R)	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. Robert A. Brady (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
Rep. Michael F. Doyle (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
Rep. Phil English (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	8%
Rep. Chaka Fattah (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Jim Gerlach (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. James C. Greenwood (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Melissa Hart (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Joseph Hoeffel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Tim Holden (D)	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	67%
Rep. Paul E. Kanjorski (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	75%
Rep. Tim Murphy (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	8%
Rep. John P. Murtha (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	83%
Rep. John E. Peterson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Joseph R. Pitts (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Todd Platts (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Rep. Donald Sherwood (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Bill Shuster (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Patrick Toomey (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	8%
Rep. Curt Weldon (R)	-	A	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	32%	
												State rank:	31	

VOTE KEY

“+” = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.

“-” = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.

“A” = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

Rhode Island

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Lincoln D. Chafee (R)	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33%
Sen. Jack Reed (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. Patrick J. Kennedy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Jim Langevin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
														State average: 81%
														State rank: 4

South Carolina

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Lindsey Graham (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Ernest F. Hollings (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. J. Gresham Barrett (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Henry Brown (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. James E. Clyburn (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. James DeMint (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. John M. Spratt (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	83%
Rep. Joe Wilson (R)	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
														State average: 35%
														State rank: 29

South Dakota

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	S. 448/H.R. 936	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea			
Sen. Thomas A. Daschle (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
Sen. Tim Johnson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>			
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea			
Rep. William J. Janklow (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	A	-	-	-	-	0%
														State average: 61%
														State rank: 13

Tennessee

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Lamar Alexander (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	17%
Sen. William H. Frist (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Marsha Blackburn (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jim Cooper (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	-	75%
Rep. Lincoln Davis (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	83%
Rep. John J. Duncan (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	8%
Rep. Harold E. Ford (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	A	-	+	+	+	-	67%
Rep. Bart Gordon (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	67%
Rep. William L. Jenkins (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. John S. Tanner (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Zach Wamp (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
State average:												36%	
State rank:												27	

Texas

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. John Cornyn (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Kay Bailey Hutchison (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	A	-	+	-	+	-	25%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Joe Barton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Chris Bell (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	75%
Rep. Henry Bonilla (R)	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Kevin Brady (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Michael C. Burgess (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. John R. Carter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. John Culberson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Tom DeLay (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Lloyd Doggett (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Chet Edwards (D)	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	58%
Rep. Martin Frost (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Charlie Gonzalez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Kay Granger (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Gene Green (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	-	75%
Rep. Ralph M. Hall (D)	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	42%
Rep. Jeb Hensarling (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Ruben Hinojosa (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	83%
Rep. Sheila Jackson-Lee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Eddie Bernice Johnson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Sam Johnson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Nick Lampson (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Randy Neugebauer (R)	/	/	/	/	/	/	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Solomon P. Ortiz (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%

(continued next page)

VOTE KEY

- “+” = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.
- “-” = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.
- “A” = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

Texas

continued

HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Rep. Ron Paul (R)	-	+	+	A	+	-	A	-	+	+	+	-	50%
Rep. Silvestre Reyes (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Ciro D. Rodriguez (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Max Sandlin (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Pete Sessions (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Lamar S. Smith (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Charles W. Stenholm (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Mac Thornberry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jim Turner (D)	A	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	67%
												State average:	43%
												State rank:	24

Utah

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Robert F. Bennett (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Orrin G. Hatch (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Rob Bishop (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Chris Cannon (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jim Matheson (D)	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	67%
												State average:	17%
												State rank:	44

Vermont

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. James M. Jeffords (I)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Sen. Patrick J. Leahy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Bernard Sanders (I)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
												State average:	89%
												State rank:	3

Virginia

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. George F. Allen (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. John W. Warner (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Rick Boucher (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Eric I. Cantor (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jo Ann Davis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	8%
Rep. Thomas M. Davis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Randy Forbes (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Virgil Goode (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Bob Goodlatte (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. James P. Moran (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Rep. Edward L. Schrock (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Bobby C. Scott (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Frank R. Wolf (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
State average:												22%	
State rank:												40	

Washington

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Maria Cantwell (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Sen. Patty Murray (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Brian Baird (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Norman D. Dicks (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Jennifer Dunn (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Richard (Doc) Hastings (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Jay Inslee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. Rick Larsen (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	67%
Rep. Jim McDermott (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. George R. Nethercutt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Adam Smith (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	A	A	+	+	+	-	58%
State average:												59%	
State rank:												14	

VOTE KEY

- “+” = Member voted with the CDF Action Council position.
- “-” = Member voted against the CDF Action Council position.
- “A” = Member did not vote. Missed votes are counted against a member in the final score.

West Virginia

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Robert C. Byrd (D)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Sen. John D. Rockefeller (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Alan B. Mollohan (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	75%
Rep. Shelley Moore Capito (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	17%
Rep. Nick Joe Rahall (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	67%
												State average:	67%
												State rank:	9

Wisconsin

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Russell D. Feingold (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Sen. Herb Kohl (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Tammy Baldwin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Rep. Mark Green (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	8%
Rep. Ron Kind (D)	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	75%
Rep. Gerald D. Kleczka (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%
Rep. David R. Obey (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Rep. Thomas E. Petri (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rep. Paul Ryan (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	8%
Rep. F. James Sensenbrenner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	8%
												State average:	56%
												State rank:	16

Wyoming

SENATE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>S90</u>	<u>S134</u>	<u>S196</u>	<u>S210</u>	<u>S256</u>	<u>S269</u>	<u>S329</u>	<u>S330</u>	<u>S333</u>	<u>S340</u>	<u>S341</u>	Co-Sponsor of	SCORE
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	S. 448/H.R. 936	
Sen. Michael Enzi (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
Sen. Craig Thomas (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%
HOUSE Roll Call Vote #:	<u>H28</u>	<u>H30</u>	<u>H124</u>	<u>H141</u>	<u>H154</u>	<u>H225</u>	<u>H275</u>	<u>H288</u>	<u>H353</u>	<u>H444</u>	<u>H453</u>		
CDF Action Council position	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea		
Rep. Barbara Cubin (R)	A	A	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	0%
												State average:	6%
												State rank:	48

Key 2003 Children's Votes in the Senate

Fiscal 2004 Budget Resolution, Child Care—Bingaman Amendment (S. Con. Res. 23, Senate Roll Call Vote 90)

Rejected by a vote of 49–50 (R 1–50; D 47–0; I 1–0) on March 25, 2003

Vote Description: The amendment would increase mandatory child care spending by \$4.6 billion over five years and \$9.1 billion over ten years. The spending would be offset by a decrease in tax cuts.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for this amendment offered by Senator Jeff Bingaman (D-NM) was a vote for children. Nationally, only one out of seven children eligible for child care assistance under federal law receives help. Mandatory funding for child care has been flat for the last two years, but inflation has continued to climb, leaving states with fewer resources to serve children and families. As a result, thousands of families were dropped from child care assistance, and many more languish on waiting lists for services. This vote reflects Senators' priorities in funding child care to help low-income working families or providing tax cuts for the wealthy.

Fiscal 2004 Budget Resolution, Conference Report (H. Con. Res. 95, Senate Roll Call Vote 134)

Adopted, with Vice President Cheney casting a “yea” vote to break the tie, 50–50 (R 49–2; D 1–47; I 0–1) on April 11, 2003

Vote Description: Adoption of the conference report on the concurrent resolution that would set broad spending and revenue targets over the next ten years. The agreement would allow a tax cut of up to \$550 billion to be protected by reconciliation rules.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for H. Con. Res. 95 was a vote against children. This was a crucial vote to approve the final Congressional budget plan for fiscal year 2004 through fiscal year 2013. The budget allowed \$550 billion in federal funds for tax cuts in reconciliation, which would severely limit new spending for children. In an illusory attempt to balance the budget, the resolution calls for cuts in domestic spending of \$7.2 billion below the baseline in fiscal year 2004. Implementing the resolution would require a 2 percent cut in domestic discretionary spending in fiscal year 2004. The cuts deepen in future years. Over ten years, the resolution assumes domestic discretionary spending cuts of \$168 billion, a 4.1 percent cut. Given the already small amount dedicated to domestic discretionary spending, these cuts are likely to have a serious impact on programs for children and families, such as education, health care, job training, and child care.

Tax Reductions, Conference Report (H.R. 2, Senate Roll Call Vote 196)

Adopted, with Vice President Cheney casting a “yea” vote to break the tie, 50–50 (R 48–3; D 2–46; I 0–1) on May 23, 2003

Vote Description: Adoption of the conference report on the bill that would provide \$350 billion in tax breaks over eleven years.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for H.R. 2 was a vote against children. This bill provided massive new tax cuts, with the majority of the tax cuts targeted to the wealthiest Americans. One in four American children—including nearly half of all Black and Latino children—receives nothing from the entire tax law because their hard-working parents earn too little. A fraction of the entire tax package's cost is enough to provide comprehensive

health coverage for all 9.3 million uninsured American children and Head Start's comprehensive services for all 1.8 million children that need it to enter school ready to learn. The new tax cut law provides each of America's 190,000 millionaires \$93,500 on average.

Child Tax Credit, Tax Credit Extension—Grassley Amendment (H.R. 1308, Senate Roll Call Vote 210)

Adopted by a vote of 94–2 (R 47–2; D 46–0; I 1–0) on June 5, 2003

Vote Description: The amendment would extend the refundable Child Tax Credit increases contained in the 2003 tax cut package to some low-income families. It would allow low-income families to claim a cash payment equal to 15 percent of taxable income that exceeds \$10,500, up to \$1,000 per child. The \$9.8 billion cost would be offset by an extension of customs user fees.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for the amendment offered by Senator Charles Grassley (R-IA) was a vote for children. The conference report for the major tax cut package failed to include an expansion of the Child Tax Credit to cover more low-income families. As a result, 12 million children were not covered by the Child Tax Credit in the final bill, including one million children in military and veterans' families. Senator Grassley's amendment would extend the Child Tax Credit, and make it refundable in order to benefit low-income families.

Prescription Drug Benefit, Immigrant Coverage—Sessions Amendment (S. 1, Senate Roll Call Vote 256)

Rejected by a vote of 33–65 (R 32–19; D 1–45; I 0–1) on June 26, 2003

Vote Description: The amendment would strike a provision in the bill allowing states to provide health coverage to legal immigrant children and pregnant women. It also would express the Senate's sense that the Finance Committee should hold hearings, relating to Medicaid or welfare reauthorization, on whether the five-year residency requirement for legal immigrants to obtain federal benefits under welfare should be overturned.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for the amendment offered by Senator Jeff Sessions (R-AL) was a vote against children. The Sessions amendment would have stripped the Immigrant Children Health Insurance Act (ICHIA) from the Senate Medicare prescription drug bill. ICHIA would allow, but not require, states to provide Medicaid and/or CHIP coverage to legal immigrant children and pregnant women. Currently, federal law prohibits states from providing Medicaid or CHIP coverage to legal immigrants during their first five years in the United States. A vote against the Sessions amendment was a vote to offer health insurance to hundreds of thousands of children.

Fiscal 2004 State Department Reauthorization, Unemployment Insurance—Murray Motion (S. 925, Senate Roll Call Vote 269)

Rejected by a vote of 48–48 (R 3–48; D 44–0; I 1–0) on July 10, 2003

Note: A three-fifths majority vote (60) of the total Senate is required to waive the Budget Act. Subsequently, the chair upheld the point of order, and the amendment fell.

Vote Description: A motion to waive the Budget Act with respect to the point of order against the amendment. The amendment would extend unemployment benefits by seven weeks for those who have already received 26 weeks of benefits and by 13 weeks for those who have already received 13 weeks of benefits. Benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act would be extended for eligible employees with less than ten years of service.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for the motion in support of the amendment offered by Senator Patty Murray (D-WA) was a vote for children. Unemployment insurance has been a critical safety net for the nation's workers and their families. Most unemployment insurance recipients do not have enough savings to sustain their families through a lengthy period of unemployment. Unemployment benefits usually only partially replace lost income—typically

between 30 percent and 50 percent of a worker's previous wages. Unfortunately, by the end of January 2004, roughly one-half million people will have exhausted their regular benefits but will not have received any Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation aid. The precarious financial situation most long-term unemployed people find themselves in means that any delay in receiving extended unemployment benefits would likely impair their ability to provide for their families.

Fiscal 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, School Construction—Harkin Motion (H.R. 2660, Senate Roll Call Vote 329)

Rejected by a vote of 43–46 (R 1–46; D 41–0; I 1–0) on September 5, 2003

Note: A three-fifths majority (60) of the total Senate is required to waive the Budget Act. Subsequently, the chair upheld the point of order, and the amendment fell.

Vote Description: Motion to waive the Budget Act with respect to the point of order. The amendment would provide an additional \$1 billion for school construction projects through the Fund for the Improvement of Education.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for the motion in support of the amendment offered by Senator Tom Harkin (D-IA) was a vote for children. It would make schools safer and more effective by helping to meet the urgent national need for school renovation and repair. In 2003, according to the American Society of Civil Engineers, public schools earned a D- for condition and quality. That is the lowest grade given to any major public works project—worse even than energy (D+), drinking water (D) and hazardous waste (D+). According to the American Society of Civil Engineers, 75 percent of the nation's schools remain inadequate to meet the needs of school children. The total cost to update school facilities would exceed \$127 billion.

Fiscal 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, Title I Funding—Reid Motion (H.R. 2660, Senate Roll Call Vote 330)

Rejected by a vote of 44–51 (R 0–50; D 43–1; I 1–0) on September 9, 2003

Note: A three-fifths majority (60) of the total Senate is required to waive the Budget Act. Subsequently, the chair upheld the point of order, and the amendment fell.

Vote Description: Motion to waive the Budget Act with respect to the point of order against the amendment. The amendment would provide an additional \$6.15 billion for the Title I program in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for the motion in support of the amendment offered by Senator Robert Byrd (D-WV) was a vote for children. The Title I program is the main source of federal funding for students in high-poverty schools. Yet, the Senate Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations bill would fund the program at \$6.15 billion below what was promised in President Bush's No Child Left Behind Act. Closing the achievement gap between low- and high-income schools requires closing the resource gaps that exist between these schools. A report by the Education Trust found that if one looks at state and local funds alone, the spending difference between the poorest quartile of school districts and the wealthiest is \$1,020 per student per year. The Byrd amendment would improve school quality in low-income schools by providing them with the resources they need to give all children the opportunity to master high standards.

Fiscal 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, Head Start—Dodd Motion (H.R. 2660, Senate Roll Call Vote 333)

Rejected by a vote of 47–47 (R 3–46; D 43–1; I 1–0) on September 9, 2003

Note: A three-fifths majority (60) of the total Senate is required to waive the Budget Act. Subsequently, the chair upheld the point of order, and the amendment fell.

Vote Description: Motion to waive the Budget Act with respect to the point of order. This amendment would increase funding to Head Start programs by \$350 million for fiscal year 2004.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for the motion in support of the amendment offered by Senator Christopher Dodd (D-CT) was a vote for children. Head Start, the nation's premier early childhood program for low-income children, currently serves just three out of five eligible preschoolers and only 3 percent of eligible infants and toddlers. Head Start needs increased funds to expand services to all eligible children and to maintain its high-quality program to help the nation's poorest children enter school prepared to succeed.

Fiscal 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, After-school Programs—Reid Motion (H.R. 2660, Senate Roll Call Vote 340)

Rejected by a vote of 46–49 (R 2–48; D 43–1; I 1–0) on September 10, 2003

Note: A three-fifths majority (60) of the total Senate is required to waive the Budget Act. Subsequently, the chair upheld the point of order, and the amendment fell.

Vote Description: Motion to waive the Budget Act with respect to the point of order against the amendment. The amendment would increase funding by \$250 million for after-school programs under the 21st Century Community Learning Centers program.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for the motion in support of the amendment offered by Senator Barbara Boxer (D-CA) was a vote for children. The current lack of after-school programs denies too many children access to needed supervision after school and to important academic and personal enrichment opportunities. Significant research has found that youths who attend high-quality after-school programs have better grades, behavior, peer relations, and emotional adjustment. They also are less likely to use drugs, engage in violence, or become pregnant. Currently, after-school programs are being funded at \$750 million below the levels authorized in President Bush's No Child Left Behind Act.

Fiscal 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, Promoting Safe and Stable Families—Landrieu Motion (H.R. 2660, Senate Roll Call Vote 341)

Rejected by a vote of 49–46 (R 5–45; D 43–1; I 1–0) on September 10, 2003

Note: A three-fifths majority (60) of the total Senate is required to waive the Budget Act. Subsequently, the chair upheld the point of order, and the amendment fell.

Vote Description: Motion to waive the Budget Act with respect to the point of order against the amendment. The amendment would provide an additional \$100 million for the Administration on Children and Families for programs that promote safe and stable families and an additional \$18 million for independent living vouchers for children aging out of foster care.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for the motion in support of the amendment offered by Senator Mary Landrieu (D-LA) was a vote for children. A child is abused and neglected in America every 36 seconds. More than 125,000 children are in foster care waiting for adoptive families. An estimated 20,000 youths age-out of the foster care system each year without being adopted or returned to their families. The increased funding for the Promoting Safe and Stable Families Program and for assistance for education and training for young people leaving foster care (which was also included in the Bush Administration's 2003 Budget request) would have helped many of these children.

The Act to Leave No Child Behind (S. 448), Introduced by Senator Christopher Dodd (D-CT) on February 26, 2003

Bill Description: The Act to Leave No Child Behind (S. 448) is comprehensive legislation incorporating a national policy vision for America's children. The Act contains 12 titles drawn from proven and effective policies and programs, many in other bills introduced by Republicans and Democrats. It would, among other things, provide health coverage to all uninsured children in America; offer full funding for child care and Head Start, so that all eligible children who need these benefits could participate; ensure that working parents have the supports they need to remain employed and help lift themselves and their children out of poverty; make sure that more children are in safe, nurturing, and permanent families; and strengthen youth development, juvenile justice, and violence prevention efforts.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. Cosponsorship of S. 448 is an important action that Senators can take to demonstrate their commitment to children.

Key 2003 Children's Votes in the House of Representatives

Welfare Reauthorization, Cardin Substitute (H.R. 4, House Roll Call Vote 28)

Rejected by a vote of 197–225 (R 0–222; D 196–3; I 1–0) on February 13, 2003

Vote Description: The substitute would maintain the 30-hour-per-week work requirement for welfare recipients but require that 24 of those hours be in certain core activities. It would give states greater flexibility to provide job training and education to recipients, allow legal immigrants to receive benefits, and increase child care funding by \$11 billion over the next five years.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for the substitute offered by Representative Benjamin Cardin (D-MD) was a vote for children. This substitute bill represented progress for working families—instead of leaving children behind—by providing an increase in funding for child care, state flexibility to assist legal immigrants, and opportunities to improve the education and training families need to successfully lift themselves out of poverty.

Welfare Reauthorization, Final Passage (H.R. 4, House Roll Call Vote 30)

Adopted by a vote of 230–192 (R 219–2; D 11–189; I 0–1) on February 13, 2003

Vote Description: Passage of the bill would renew the TANF block grant program through fiscal 2008 and require new welfare aid conditions. The bill would increase the work requirements for individuals receiving assistance from 30 to 40 hours per week and require states to increase the number of recipient families working from the current level of 50 percent to 70 percent or more in 2008. The bill also would provide an additional \$1 billion in mandatory state child care funds and \$200 million (to be matched by \$100 million of state money) for marriage promotion programs.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for H.R. 4 was a vote against children. The bill fails to provide for families with significant work barriers, and proposes harsh work participation requirements coupled with no significant increase in work or child care supports. Although the Congressional Budget Office estimated that an additional \$4.55 billion in child care funding over the next five years is needed to ensure that state and federal funds devoted to child care keep pace with inflation, H.R. 4 provides only \$1 billion in additional mandatory child care funds over the next five years. Furthermore, the Congressional Budget Office estimated that if states were required to enforce the 40-hour work requirement and meet the increased participation rate targets in the bill, the costs to states of meeting the new work requirements would be roughly \$6 billion over five years. This bill includes no additional funds to meet these requirements. If these mandates are placed on states without the requisite funding, states will have to cut effective programs—including programs that help low-income working families stay off of welfare by providing key supports such as child care and transportation assistance—in order to comply with the new federal mandates. Further, the bill does nothing to expand education and training opportunities for TANF recipients who often are trapped in poverty-wage jobs because they lack the education and skills to attain a living wage.

Gun Liability, Final Passage (H.R. 1036, House Roll Call Vote 124)

Adopted by a vote of 285–140 (R 221–3; D 63–137; I 1–0) on April 9, 2003

Vote description: The legislation would prohibit civil liability cases from being brought or continued against manufacturers, distributors, dealers, or importers of firearms or ammunition for damages resulting from the use of their products by consumers. Civil liability cases would be prohibited in any federal or state court, and any cases that are pending on the date of the enactment

of this Act would be dismissed immediately by the court in which the action was brought or is currently pending.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for H.R. 1036 was a vote against children. A vote to provide the gun industry with special legal protection from lawsuits would deny gun violence victims their legal right to a day in court to hold gun manufacturers and sellers accountable for their role in recklessly producing unsafe products and marketing and supplying guns to criminals. Federal immunity would give manufacturers and sellers special protection that is not afforded to any other industry and would halt progress toward safer guns and greater gun industry accountability.

Fiscal 2004 Budget Resolution, Conference Report (H. Con. Res. 95, House Roll Call Vote 141)

Adopted by a vote of 216–211 (R 216–7; D 0–203; I 0–1) on April 10, 2003

Vote Description: Adoption of the conference report on the concurrent resolution that would set broad spending and revenue targets over the next ten years. The agreement would allow a tax cut of up to \$550 billion to be protected by reconciliation rules.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for H. Con. Res. 95 was a vote against children. This was a crucial vote to approve the final Congressional budget plan for fiscal year 2004 through fiscal year 2013. The budget allowed \$550 billion in federal funds for tax cuts in reconciliation, which would severely limit new spending for children. In an illusory attempt to balance the budget, the resolution calls for cuts in domestic spending of \$7.2 billion below the baseline. Implementing the resolution would require a 2 percent cut in domestic discretionary spending in fiscal year 2004. The cuts deepen in future years. Over ten years, the resolution assumes domestic discretionary spending cuts of \$168 billion, a 4.1 percent cut. Given the already small amount dedicated to domestic discretionary spending, these cuts are likely to have a serious impact on programs for children and families in affecting education, health care, job training, and child care.

Special Education Reauthorization, Final Passage (H.R. 1350, House Roll Call Vote 154)

Adopted by a vote of 251–171 (R 217–7; D 34–163; I 0–1) on April 30, 2003

Vote Description: Legislation to reauthorize IDEA. This bill makes changes to all parts of IDEA including those to due process protections for children with disabilities; the referral, evaluation and Individualized Education Plan process; and the comprehensive system of personnel development. The bill also reauthorizes the Preschool and Infants and Toddlers Programs as well as grants for personnel development, research, and demonstration projects.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for H.R. 1350 was a vote against children. H.R. 1350 abandons fundamental safeguards, particularly with respect to discipline, that are essential to ensuring students with disabilities receive equal access to a free and appropriate public education. The bill also fails to hold schools and districts sufficiently accountable for reducing racial disproportionality in special education and to provide adequate resources to help guarantee children the services and personnel they need to succeed in the classroom and as adults. Finally, H.R. 1350 does not sufficiently expand the Part C, Infants and Toddlers Program to cover all young children with, or at risk for, disabilities.

Tax Reductions, Conference Report (H.R. 2, House Roll Call Vote 225)

Adopted by a vote of 231–200 (R 224–1; D 7–198; I 0–1) on May 22, 2003

Vote Description: Adoption of the conference report on the bill that would provide \$350 billion in tax breaks over 11 years.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for H.R. 2 was a vote against children. This bill provided massive new tax cuts, with the majority of the tax cuts targeted to the wealthiest Americans. One in four American children—including nearly half of all Black and Latino children—receives nothing from the entire tax law because their hard-working parents earn too little. A fraction of the entire tax package’s cost is enough to provide comprehensive health coverage for all 9.3 million uninsured American children and Head Start’s comprehensive services for all 1.8 million children that need it to enter school ready to learn. The new tax cut law provides each of America’s 190,000 millionaires \$93,500 on average.

Child Tax Credit and Military Tax Breaks, Motion to Instruct (H.R. 1308, House Roll Call Vote 275)

Adopted by a vote of 205–201 (R 12–200; D 192–1; I 1–0) on June 12, 2003

Vote Description: Motion to instruct House conferees to insist on all of the provisions of the Senate-adopted amendment, including language that would allow low-income families to receive the increased Child Tax Credit refund immediately and offset the \$9.8 billion cost by an extension of customs user fees. The motion also would instruct conferees not to include additional tax breaks that lack corresponding offsets.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for the motion to instruct offered by Representative Charles Rangel (D-NY) was a vote for children. This motion offered an opportunity for Representatives to show support for the expanded Child Tax Credit by instructing conferees to adopt the Child Tax Credit as amended by the Senate. The Senate amendment would have ensured that low- to moderate-income working families were given the same immediate payment of their Child Tax Credit that was provided to all other families in the recently-enacted tax bill. Furthermore, the Senate amendment would have extended the Child Tax Credit to the children of many recent veterans. Numerous enlisted men and women make far less than \$26,000 per year, and, without this amendment, their children would not be eligible for the increased Child Tax Credit.

Estate Tax Repeal, Final Passage (H.R. 8, House Roll Call Vote 288)

Adopted by a vote of 264–163 (R 223–4; D 41–158; I 0–1) on June 18, 2003

Vote Description: Passage of the bill that would make permanent the repeal of the estate tax contained in the 2001 tax cut law (PL 107-16), which is set to expire after 2010.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for H.R. 8 was a vote against children. This bill would provide massive tax cuts for the wealthiest people in America. According to the Joint Committee on Taxation estimates, this measure would cost \$162 billion through 2013. These revenue losses would be on top of the \$350 billion tax cut that the President signed on May 28. Permanent repeal of the estate tax would cause \$64 billion in lost revenue in 2013 alone. Over the subsequent decade, 2014 through 2023, permanent repeal would translate into approximately \$820 billion in lost revenue. These losses would make it impossible to make the necessary investments in our children, and would likely force cuts to already-insufficient funding for children’s programs.

Fiscal 2004 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations, Final Passage (H.R. 2660, House Roll Call Vote 353)

Adopted by a vote of 215–208 (R 215–9; D 0–198; I 0–1) on July 10, 2003

Vote Description: Passage of the bill would appropriate \$470 billion for the Labor, Health and Human Services and Education departments and related agencies.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for H.R. 2660 was a vote against children. This bill fell \$8 billion short of the funding level promised in President Bush’s No Child Left Behind Act—including a more than \$6 billion shortfall in funding for Title I, which supports our neediest students. As a result, students and teachers will not have the resources they need to

improve schools identified as “failing” by the President’s education law. Furthermore, this bill failed to fully fund the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and freezes 67 programs, which results in cuts to current service levels when inflation and enrollment growth are taken into account. Among the programs frozen is the Pell Grant maximum award to help low-income students afford college.

Head Start Reauthorization, Final Passage (H.R. 2210, House Roll Call Vote 444)

Adopted by a vote of 217–216 (R 217–12; D 0–203; I 0–1) on July 24, 2003.

Vote Description: Passage of the bill that would reauthorize the Head Start program (the federal program that aims to help low-income families prepare their children for school) through fiscal year 2008. The bill would establish a pilot program to block grant local Head Start funds to eight states to coordinate their state preschool programs with Head Start centers. The bill also would allow religious organizations that operate Head Start programs to consider religion as a factor in hiring teachers.

CDF Action Council Position: No. A vote for H.R. 2210 was a vote against children. The bill contains a dangerous provision to turn Head Start over to eight states without preserving the program’s comprehensive services and quality standards that make Head Start so successful. The bill also authorizes only minimal increases in funding for the program, despite the fact that Head Start serves only a fraction of eligible children. The vote reflects a choice between expanding and strengthening a high-quality program for America’s poorest children or taking action that could dismantle the nation’s most successful antipoverty program.

Fiscal 2004 VA-HUD Appropriations, Housing Vouchers—Nadler Amendment (H.R. 2861, House Roll Call Vote 453)

Adopted by a vote of 217–208 (R 24–200; D 192–8; I 1–0) on July 25, 2003

Vote Description: The amendment would provide an additional \$150 million for Section 8 housing vouchers and offset the cost with a decrease to HUD’s administration account.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. A vote for the amendment offered by Representative Jerrold Nadler (D-NY) was a vote for children. More than 3.5 million children live in households with “worst case” housing needs, meaning they pay more than 50 percent of their income for rent and/or live in substandard housing. The Section 8 program provides vouchers to help low-income families pay their rent. Voucher holders pay 30 percent of their income for rent, and HUD pays the rest. Of the 2.1 million voucher holders, 61 percent are families with children. This amendment would add funding for 22,000 more vouchers in fiscal year 2004 than the underlying bill.

The Act to Leave No Child Behind (H.R. 936), Introduced by Representative George Miller (D-CA) on February 26, 2003

Bill Description: The Act to Leave No Child Behind (H.R. 936) is comprehensive legislation incorporating a national policy vision for America’s children. The Act contains 12 titles drawn from proven and effective policies and programs, many in other bills introduced by Republicans and Democrats. It would, among other things, provide health coverage to all uninsured children in America; offer full funding for child care and Head Start, so that all eligible children who need these benefits could participate; ensure that working parents have the supports they need to remain employed and be able to lift themselves and their children out of poverty; make sure that more children are in safe, nurturing, and permanent families; and strengthen youth development, juvenile justice, and violence prevention efforts.

CDF Action Council Position: Yes. Cosponsorship of H.R. 936 is an important action that Representatives can take to demonstrate their commitment to children.

